Evaluation

B DUCATION & WELFART NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF FOULATION

**OFFICE** OF RESEARCH'AND EVALUATION



austin independent school district





#### BOARD OF TRUSTEES

Gustavo L. Garcia, President

Reverend Marvin C. Griffin, Vice President

Jerry Nugant, Secretary

Will D. Davis

**DeCourcy Kelley** 

Winnie Gage

M. K. Hage, Jr.

#### SUPERINTENDENT OF SCHOOLS

Dr. Jack L. Davidson

COORDINATOR, OFFICE OF RESEARCH AND EVALUATION

Dr. Freda M. Holley

JAN - 70

#### EVALUATION DESIGN

1978-79

Education for Parenthood Project September 30, 1978

> Nancy Baenen Evaluation Intern

Glynn Ligon Senior Evaluator

Approved

Freda M. Holley, Ph.D.,

Director of Research and Evaluation

Publication Number: 78.02

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENT AND DISCLAIMER ...

The project presented and reported herein was performed pursuant to a grant from the Department of Health, Education, and Welfare. However, the opinions expressed rein do not necessarily reflect the position or policy of the Department and no official encorsement by the Department should be inferred.

#### PROGRAM STAFF

The Education for Parenthood Project is a joint project of the Austin Independent School District and Child Incorporated. The staff members listed below are reeponsible for major aspects of the implementation and administration of the Education for Parenthood Project. The Office of Research and Evaluation would also like to acknowledge the help of menu other persons in the individual high schools and central administration not listed individually here, particularly the Home Economics teachers and principals. These staff members directly affect the implementation of the program, and have assisted ORE in the collection of swaldation information. Our office thanks you.

Kay Killough Assistant Superintendent Division of Instructional Services

Jos Vicari Project Director

Billie Franke Homemaking Coordinator

Candice Logue Project Coordinato: James Strickland
Director, Child Inc.

Pauline White Child Inc.

Anne Martin, Director Johnston Infant Center

Donna Wilkes, Former Director | LBJ Infant Center

Estelle White, Current Director LBJ Infant Center

Pauline Teague, Director Manchaca Infant Center

Tina Jaurez, Coordinator Kealing Teenage Parent Program

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Table of Contents	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	111
Ļ	Evaluation Design Review Form	•	•		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	1
II.	Nerrative Summary													•
*,	A. Program Summary	•	•	• •	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	2
	A. Program Summary	•	•	• •	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	,
m.	Decision Questions				í						4	•		
•	A: Questions Addressed	•	• •		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	-	4
• .	B. Overview	•	•	• •	•	•	•	•	٠	;	•	٠	•	).
IV.	Information Needs										•			_
	A. Needs	•	•		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	8
	B. Overview.	•	•		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	9
v.	Dissemination		•		•	•		•				•		10
	•													
VI.	Information Sources	•	•	• •	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	11.
VII.	Data to be Collected in the School	1.	•		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	12
III.	Evaluation Time Resources Allocat	10	n Si	J.COM	ar	у.	•	•		•	•	•	•	13



## EVALUATION DESIGN REVIEW FORM

The individuals listed below were provided an opportunity to review this design and provide input prior to publication of this design.

Lewrence Buford ... Director of Secondary Education

Kay Killough
Assistant Superintendent.
Division of Instructional
Services

Joe Vicers Project Director

Billio ranke Homemaking Coordinator

Candice Logue Project Coordinator Ron Beauford / Principal, L.b.J. High School

Adan Salgado Principal, Johnston High School

Tine Juarez Coordinator of Teenage Parent Program

James Strickland . Executive Director, Child, Inc.

Pauline White Child, Inc.

.)

### PROGRAM SUMMARY

The Education for Parenthood Project (EPP) is an innovative, cooperative program of the Austin Independent School District and Child, Inc., Austin's largest federally-funded, community-supported child care provider. The project draws upon the strengths of both agencies. AISD provides the services of Home Economics teachers and use of facilities, and Child, Inc. provides a large portion of the cost of child care facilities and the staff for the centers.

The growing concern of educatore about the increased number and attrition of student-parents, and the lack of adequate services to meet their needs, ed to the development of the Education for Parenthood Project.

The project is designed to provide both precent and future parents with information about child care, child development, and the responsibilities of parenthood. It hopes to promote realistic expectations and responsible decision-making about parenthood. The program also prepares interested students for work in the child care field.

Parenthood education classes are available on all of the high school campuses through the Home Economics department. In addition, four infant development laboratory centere are located on or near high school campuses. During 1976-77 and 1977-78, infant centers were located at LBJ, Johnston, and Lanier High Schools, and the Kealing Learning Center. During 1978-79, infant centers will operate at LBJ, Johnston, Kealing, and Hanchaca (near Travis). The infant development laboratory centers provide opportunities for:

- quality day care for the infants and toddlers of student-parents, enabling them to complete high school more easily,
- observations of young children by students in general Education for Parenthood courses, and
- direct experience with young children in a supervised work setting for interested career education students.

Thus, the project provides both classroom instruction and guided observation and work with young children.

Funding for the project is based on allocations from ESCA Title IV-C through the Texas Education Agency and from the Texas Department of Human Resources (DHR) through Child, Inc. The Title V-C budgets have been: \$142,379 for 1976-77; \$133,719 for 1977-78; and \$1 3,000 for 1978-79. The primary focus during 1976-77 was on successfully im lementing the program. The project was revised and refined during 1977-78. During 1978-89, main emphases will be on disseminating information about the project, and securing continuation of funds for the project.



8

#### **EVALUATION SUMMARY**

During Phase I of the project, an assessment was made of project implementation and operation by Arbec, Inc., and independent evaluation firm. The AISD Office of Research and Evaluation agreed to provide evaluation services for the project during 1977-78, and will continue to do so during 1978-79. The information obtained will assist in decision-making by AISD, Child Inc., TEA, and other funding sources about the continued operation and impact of the project.

The evaluation will focus on the effectiveness of the program in meeting the needs of three groups of students: student-parents, career education students, and students in general education for parenthood courses. Some of the key issues which will be investigated during 1978-79 are:

- the achievement of the project process objectives;
- the knowledge of child care and development of the students served by the program (based on a test of such knowledge);
  - the level of use of the infant centers;
  - the degree to which students who visit the center more often learn more about child development and care (i.e., achieve higher scores on the test):
  - the effectiveness of the program in helping student-parents stay in school and in reducing repeat child births (based on graduation, dropout, and child birth records); and
  - the degree to which career education students are acquiring needed skills (through observations in the centers), and finding work in the child care field (through a survey).

The final evaluation findings will be available during July, 1979. They should be of value to those involved in decisions about funding and possible modifications of the project.



#### MA

## DECISION QUESTIONS ADDRESSED

- A. Accountability Question
  - D1. Should the Education for Parenthood Program be funded?
- B. Program Question
  - D2. Does the Education for Parenthood Program need modification?

## IND DECISION QUESTIONS OVERVIEW

just follow ipikolitim	tale to be the second of the s		BELEVANT ERAINATION INTERFERENCE AND INTERFERENCE	Physical Communication (Communication Communication Commun
A die municipalitée romanté des 1916 — Morinal d'Ann Bibur dé leit firé Panguntaireil l'é parun ha bembré		grafi Podr <sub>i</sub> 9:8	the state of the parties of they are in some and comparing of the the desire they are in some and comparing the state of the state of the some and the some of the	63 PPP Hekturungunk en 63 PPP hen einenktunter 63 PPP hekturungunk beiter  63 PPP hekturungunk beiter  64 PPP hekturungunk beiter
			tti k bissimte nasultad in kibus attim tus kasenthomos combase also utati the th tani unityse mod sitien allt access alg militrantly higher on a test of child do coloquent becombodys aloun at the end of the constare than alsobrate also utati free often	41 fer hikterakuna trot 64 strt fan innskrektro
			till i student stittuden fineside paivit kund gill change in the disection destroid haturen the heptoning and end if the contert	ab tip dikterraput fest
•		164 164	pt ! They does the fift trat professional of May dents who stall Atty affiltated renters compate to that of atulents can stall within community renters	43 PPP 3/1 trouverent tens \$3 PPP trouverentskirt
			(5) 1 Mar for the parlers to the ore on otselfort parametric for the his damp controllers () report visit the	gi tempes Brissês
		•		•

# IIIB DECISION QUESTIONS OVERVIEW

DECISION QUESTION	DATE TO BE DECIDED	DATE ENFORMATION 15 NEEDED,	RELEVANT EVALUATIONS QUESTIONS AND OBJECTIVES	INFORMATION SOURCES
	į.		RELATED OUTCOME OBJECTIVES: / III-8. Student-parents enrolled in the project during 1977-78 will have fewer children during 1978-79 than local and/ or national statistics for a similar population	a) Center Records b) 1977-78 Evaluation Report c) Literature Review
. <b>.</b>	/ / /		111-9. 75% of enrolled students will continue in school after giving birth.	<b>j</b> v
	/ <del>*</del>	March, 1979	D1-4. What is the project's impact on career education students':  a) skill development b) securing of jobs after leaving high school?	a) Observations (b) Employment Survey
on.			RELATED OUTCOME OBJECTIVE:  III-10, 75% of the 1976-77 career education students actively seeking child careers will be able to find infant/child care employment after completing the program and leaving high school.	a) Employment Survey
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	ļ <del>ļ</del>	Summer, 1979	D1-5. How often do classes use the infant cen- ters to observe and work with children?	n) Center Records
			D1-6. How much would it cost AISD to fund-the Education for Parenthood Program?	a) Project Documentation /
	, ,		n1-7. Have the process objectives for 1978-79 been achieved?	a) Project Documentation
,			PROCESS OBJECTIVES:  111-1. By June'30, 1979, project staff will secure financial support for each program component, OR will apply for financial support to at least two fund- ing sources per project component.	.a) Project Documentation

# IIIB DECISION QUESTIONS OVERVIEW

		-		
DECISION QUESTION	DAYR TO BE DRCJBED	DATE INFORMATION IS NEEDED	RELEVANT EVALUATION QUESTIONS AND ORDECTIVES	INFORMATION SOURCES
	The same of the sa		ordinator will prepare and submit to the Texas Education Agency, a comprehensive report on the implementation, refinement, and funding conversion of the project of this report will include documentation of key decisions, their effects, all evaluation documentation, and additional implementation information.	a) Project Documentation
<b>™</b> .		4	iii-3. By June 30, 1979, the project co- ordinator will develop a planned, compre- hensive program of public information to local, state, regional, and national pub- lics. This information will create a . public awareness of education for parent- bood and of the AISD Education for Parent- duod Project.  iii-4. By June, 1978, the evaluation com- ponent of Phase III will have been com-	a) Project Documentation  a) Project Documentation
8. Program Question D2. Does the Education for Parent- hood Program need modification	Summer, 1979	Summer, 1979	D2-1. Same as evaluation question 1-1. D2-2. Same as evaluation question 1-3,	
j	, ,		D2-3. Same as evaluation question 1-4.	
			D2-5. What other effects has the project had on student knowledge of child development and care?  a) Do students who take more education for parenthood courses show higher scores on the EFP test?  b) How does the achievement of male and female students in the classes compare?  c) How does the test achievement of student-parents as a group compare to that  of students in EPP classes?	b) 2ft (an coantraine)

#### IVA

## VINFORMATION NEEDS

#### Interim Evaluation Report

- II. Do Child Development A students score higher on a test of child development and child care knowledge at the end of the course than they did at the beginning?
- I2. Do students who visit the infant center more often show higher scores on a test of child development given at the end of Child Development A?
- 13. Are students who were in career education classes in 1977-78 and have sought work in the child care field presently working in the field or studying child care?
- I4. What statistics are available on a local and/or national level concerning the number of teenage mothers who have another child within a year of their first child? How do these figures compare to Education for Parenthood Figures for 1977-78?

#### Other Information Needs

- 15. Bo the perceptions of parenthood of male and female students differ?
- 16. Do the perceptions of parenthood of high school students, college students, and parents differ?



## IVB .

## INFORMATION NEEDS OVERVIEW

INFORMATION NEED	DATE - Information */ Needed	INFORMATION SOURCE
Il. Do Child Development A students scor higher on a test of child development and child care knowledge at the end of the course than they did at the beginning?	March, 1979	a) EPP Test
I2. Do students who wisit the infant center more often show higher scores on a test of child development given at the end of Child Development A?	March, 1978	a) EPP Test b) STEP (as covariable) c) Center Records
Are students who were in career ed-  13. ucation classes in 1977-78 and have sought work in the child care field presently working in the field or studying child care?	Summer, 1979	a) Employment Survey
I4. What statistics are available on a local and/or national level concerning the number of teenage mothers who has another child within a year of their first child? How do these figures compare to Education for Parenthood Figures for 1977-78?	ive Summer, 1979	a) Literature Review b) 1977-78 Evaluation Report
I5. Do the purceptions of parenthood of male and female students differ?	Summer, 1979	a) Campus Survey
I6. Do the perceptions of parenthood of high school students, college students and parents differ?	Surmer, 1979	a) Campus Survey
		19

ERIC 8

## DISSEMINATION

INFURMATION	DISSEMINATION FORMAT	DATE OF DISTRIBUTION	PERSONS RECEIVING
Evaluation Findings for 1977-78	Brochure	9-78	General Distribution: Teachers, Program Staff, Principals Administrators, Public
Parenthood Survey Findings	Newsletter (Feedback)	11-78	General Distribution: AISD Staff
Evaluation Design 1978-79	Memo, Meetings	10~78	Project Staff Administrators
Mid-Year Firdings	Interim Report	2-79	Project Staff Board TEA
Findings for the year	Final Report	7–79	Project Staff Board TEA AISD Library
•			
		5	
• •	20		
70	10		

## INFORMATION SOURCES

INFORMATION SCRIPCE	POPULATION (	EVALUATION OURST: 48 ARYKONUCKO	DATEA. CHLECTED	ANABYSES TRAINIQUES	REMARKS
1. EPP Tent	Students in Education for Parenthood classes: CDA, CDB, PEL, NECE. A)! Ltudent-parents.	DI-1, DI /2, D2-1, 1!+5 111-5, 111- 6, 111-7, 11, 12	April 1	Analysis of Variance, Analysis of Co- variance, T Test, Distat. Will compare student performance on pre-post, male- femals, parent-non-parent, amount of experience, and number of parenthood classes taken.	Will test Child Development A first quarter, Child Dev. B second quarter, PEL, and HECE at beginning and end of year
2. STEP	Studenta who take EPP Test.	DI-I, DI-2, D2-5, III-5, III- 6, I2	- h 114	Analysis of covariance with EPP Test scores.	Should help rontrol for veriation in level of reading achievement,
], Center Records	All student-parents in the project. All EPP Classes	DL-T, D1-5, 111-8, 111-		Content coding and frequency distributions if data concerning use of infant centers, and number of student-parenta's who have raduated, dropped out, or had another hild.	and the second s
4. Employment Survey	Students in child care career education courses in 1977-78,	DI-4. 111-10, 13	Fall, 1978	onlent coding and frequency distributions of current employment status.	
A S. Project Documentation	Project process abjectives	D1-6, D1-7, 111-1, 111- 2, 111-3, 111-4		, N/A	
6, 1977-78 Evaluation Report	1977-78 Repulto, Birth Statistics	111-8, 1-4	Fall, 1978	Comparison Purposes	
J. Literature Review	Shood-age Parent Mirth Statintics	111-8, 1-4	Fall, 1978	Comparison Perposes	
B' Campus Survey (1977-78)	Students in a sample of Health Classes	1-5, 1-6	Springs, 1978	T Test Analysis of Vhrian e	

#### VIII

#### DATA TO BE COLLECTED IN THE SCHOOLS

#### A. Students

Beginning and end of each quarter

Lducation for Parenthood (EPP) Test: the EPP
Test is designed to measure student knowledge
of child development child care, and the resonsibilities of parenthood. Students in Child
Development A, Child Development B, Pre Employment
Laboratory (PEL) and Home Economics Cooperative
Education (HECE) will be given the test at the
beginning and end of the courses. Test is largely
self-administering (teachers will read a few instructions to the students), and requires one class
period to complete.

Fall, 1978 Spring, 1978 2. Observations: Career education students (HECE and PEL) will be observed at the infant centers at the beginning and end of the school year to examine their level of child care skills development.

#### · B. Teachers and Students

Fall, 1978 .

1. Employment Survey: Teachers will be asked for a list of the names and addresses of students in pre-employment classes in 1977-78. Former students will then be contacted by mail or telephone to determine their present employment status.

#### C. Other School Staff

1. Center Records: Infant center directors will be asked to report statistics on the number of student-parents who have graduated, dropped out, and had another child. In addition, center directors will post forms for students to sign in on to document . visits to the centers.



VIII
EVALUATION TIME RESOURCES ALLOCATION

				٨		
ACTIVITY	COORDINATOR	SENIOR CEVALUATUR	EVALUATOR	DATA AMAI.YST	EVALUATION ASSISTANT,	SECRETARY
A. Design	.50	.75	~ 17		*	
B. Information Sources 1. EPP Test 2. STEP 3. Center Records 4. Employment Survey 5. Project Documentation 6. 1977-78 Evaluation Report 7. Literature Review 8. Campus Survey (1977-78)		1 .25 .50 .25 	40 15 20 15 10 6 10 15		,	
C. Interim Dissemination 1. Findings for 1977-78-Brochure and Newsletter 2. Mid-year Findings-Interim Report	.50	.25 .50	7			
Ad Hoc Analyses		.25	5			•
B. Final Report	1 .	2	` 40 ♥		<b>)</b>	
F. Other Dissemination			5	,	,	,
G. Administrative and other Indirect ' Time Costs	1	4	10			
ERIC Parties resolution time	3 ,	10.25	230		,	<b>J</b> ö-